Docket No.: I4303.0115

REMARKS

Claims 1-43, 45, 46, 48-74 are pending in this application, with claim 42 withdrawn from

consideration.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of claims 12, 23, 24, and 40, and for the

indication of allowable subject matter in claims 12, 23, and 24.

Applicant has canceled withdrawn claim 42, as required by the Examiner.

Claims 5 and 7 are objected to because of informalities. The amendments to the claims are

believed to overcome these informalities. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are

respectfully requested.

Claims 1-11, 53, 54, 56, 62, 68, and 74 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second

paragraph. The amendments to the claims are believed to overcome this rejection. Applicants

therefore respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Claims 43, 45, 60, 66, and 72 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The

Examiner states that the specification does not explicitly describe the feature "each kernel ...is

capable of running simultaneously with any of the plurality of kernels." Applicant has removed this

language from the claims and therefore requests withdrawal of this rejection.

Claims 13-22, 25-29, 35-39, 41, 43, 45, 46, 48-52, 55, 57-61, 63-67, and 69-74 stand

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharrit et al. (U.S. Patent No.

5,999,990) in view of Ohtsuki (U.S. Patent No. 5,101,346). Claims 1-11, 30-34, 53, 54, 56, 62, and

68 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharrit in view of Ohtsuki

and further in view of Savitzky et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,732,261).

21

DOCSNY-347894v01

Application No. 09/828,381 Amendment dated March 2, 2009

Reply to Office Action of October 31, 2008

Independent claim 1 recites "wherein said virtual machine interface operates independent

from said plurality of hardware kernels," independent claim 13 recites "wherein said virtual

machine and said virtual machine interface operate independent from said plurality of hardware

kernels," independent claim 29 recites "wherein said virtual machine interface operates independent

from said plurality of kernels," independent claim 37 recites "wherein said computer program

mechanism operates independent from said plurality of kernels," independent claim 43 recites "a

virtual machine interface, which operates independent from the wireless network communication

apparatus [having a plurality of kernels]," independent claim 46 recites "a virtual machine module,

which operates independent from the reconfigurable wireless network communication apparatus

[having a plurality of kernels," and independent claim 74 recites "wherein said virtual machine

interface operates independent from said plurality of hardware kernels."

A Virtual Machine Interface (VMI) is a software interface definition and implementation

including a set of functions and data structures for parameter exchange to the associated hardware

implementation of data processing or control functionality.

The claimed virtual machine is a software representation of the associated hardware

apparatus with plurality of hardware kernels. The claimed virtual machine does not perform the

same data processing functions as the hardware. It is only used to control and configure the

associated hardware. VMI is the software interface used with this virtual machine,

Ohtsuki does not disclose a VMI. Rather, Ohtsuki discloses realizing a virtual machine on

one or more real instruction processors. This virtual machine can be programmed just as a real

22

DOCSNY-347894v01

Docket No.: I4303.0115

Application No. 09/828,381 Amendment dated March 2, 2009

Reply to Office Action of October 31, 2008

instruction processor, and is used as a processor. Ohtsuki's virtual machine is not for controlling

and configuring the associated instruction processors, rather, it runs within them.

Thus, Ohtsuki's virtual machine runs in the instruction processors, and does not operate

independent from associates hardware kernels, as required by the claimed invention.

Thus claims 1-11, 13-22, 25-39, 41, 43, 45, 46, and 48-68 are patentable over the applied

references for at least these reasons.

In view of the above, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for

allowance.

In the event a fee is required or if any additional fee during the prosecution of this

application is not paid, the Patent Office is authorized to charge the underpayment to Deposit

Account No. 50-2215.

Dated: March 2, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/Laura C. Brutman/

By____ Laura C. Brutman

Registration No.: 38,395

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-2714

(212) 277-6500

(212) 277-0500 Attomove for Applican

Attorney for Applicant

23

DOCSNY-347894v01

Docket No.: I4303.0115